

TLNA Council Meeting Minutes
14 August 2014 7:00-9:00pm
Location: The Constellation, 10 N. Livingston St

Draft submitted 27 August 2014, Revised 2 September 2014
 By Patrick Heck, TLNA Secretary

Chair Person: Joe Lusson

Council members Present: Joe Lusson, Patty Prime, Emily Reynolds, Patrick Heck, Jessi Mulhall, Richard Linster, Keith Wessel, David Waugh, Michael Ryanjoy, Richard Entenmann, David Panofsky

Council members Absent (Excused, advance notification): Tyler Lark, James Tye, Kevin Luecke

Council members Absent (Unexcused, no notification): Bryan Post

Guests: Sgt. Kleindelt, Alder Ledell Zellers, Bob Klebba, Jim Zurlo, Kathy Zurlo, Dee Grimsrud, Peter Zembrowski

Next Scheduled Meeting: 11 September 2014

Agenda:	Actions/Discussion
1. Call to order	1. Convened at 7:08pm with President Joe Lusson chairing.
2. Approval of July Minutes.	1. July meeting minutes approved unanimously on voice vote (motion by Richard Linster, seconded by Emily Reynolds).
3. Welcome	1. Introduction of TLNA Council members
Introduction of guests.	1. Sgt. Kleinfeldt, MPD 2. Ledell Zellers, District 2 Alder 3. Bob Klebba, Tenney-Lapham resident 4. Kathy Zurlo, Tenney-Lapham resident 5. Jim Zurlo, Tenney-Lapham resident 6. Dee Grimsrud, Tenney-Lapham resident 7.
4. Report of Neighborhood Officer -- Officer Lewis or Sgt. Kleinfeldt	1. Sgt. Kleinfeldt reported. 2. The police had reports of a strong odor emanating from a Few St. house. Officer Lewis continues to work with the tenants to figure out the source. 3. On Sept. 4 (changed from Sept. 9) from 6-8pm there will be an open house with the Central District of the MPD at Lapham School. Neighbors can meet the new Police Chief and ask questions. David Waugh asked about the event format. Alder Ledell Zellers responded that it will be similar to a listening session with the new chief also giving his policing philosophy. 4. Sgt. Kleinfeldt announced that the ice cream with cops event, geared

	<p>towards children, has been postponed due to logistical concerns. It will still take place and will probably be in a neighborhood park. Details will be announced in the future.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. The Pedal with the Police event will happen once or twice a month in the future. The police want to meet neighborhood residents at bike-oriented places and businesses followed by a community bike ride. They hope to have a fall event at Revolution Cycles and one at Old Towne Cycles. 6. With students coming back, MPD is busy reeducating students about crime prevention, house parties, underage drinking, etc. They do this in hopes of preventing crimes before they happen. 7. Alder Zellers asked Sgt. Kleinfeldt if he was aware of a graffiti complaint on E. Johnson. She had previously sent an email about it and cc'ed Sgt. Kleinfeldt. Sgt. Kleinfeldt was unaware of the incident. Ledell said the victim told her that he would take pictures of the graffiti and markings. Sgt. Kleinfeldt said that most of time taggers are kids, 15-22 years old, are not gang members and are hard to catch. There are about 240,000 people in Madison and about 30 officers on the street at any given time, so it is difficult to catch anyone in the act. Neighborhood Associations play an important role by telling people to keep their eye out. Dee Grimsrud said that a metal box at Brearly and Johnson has been repeatedly tagged and is quickly painted over. She asked what procedure neighbors should follow. Sgt. Kleinfeldt encourages people to paint over tagging quickly. Ledell added that if you use "Report a Problem" on the city's website, the city will inform the property owner of graffiti and other incidents. Sgt. Kleinfeldt said that self-reporting is best when there is no suspect; it is best to take a picture and self-document. If the tagging is gang-related, the police will come out. Ledell said that if an incident is in progress, call 911; Sgt. Kleinfeldt agreed. 8. Joe L. mentioned that if you call the non-emergency police number, there is a menu option to get an emergency response too. 9. Joe L. thanked Sgt. Kleinfeldt for the MPD's attendance at the annual block party. 10. It was agreed to invite Sgt. Kleinfeldt and Officer Lewis to the Oct. 7 Taste of Tenney event at Christ Presbyterian Church. Sgt. Kleinfeldt has been participating in some of the CPC International Outreach programs. MPD is picking up international students at the airport when they arrive in town. Sgt. Kleinfeldt loves talking to the new arrivals and breaking down any barriers that may exist due to their possibly being from countries with differing attitudes towards police.
<p>5. New Business</p>	
<p>a. Ad hoc budget committee recommendation of \$100 for N.</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Joe L. thanks the budget committee for their hard work. 2. Emily Reynolds reported on the committee's work with respect to the request from David Wallner for \$200 to help pay for their annual backyard party. The budget committee met and recommends funding at

Few/Sherman Ave backyard party

- \$100 if the grantee presents adequate receipts. The committee looked at other neighborhood events that TLNA funds and those requests are usually \$30-\$50, so they felt \$100 was sufficient.
3. David Wallner had contacted Emily and they spoke about his request; he wished they could attend tonight's meeting to make their case.
 4. Emily noted that at the committee meeting there was discussion of developing a TLNA grant criteria. Those criteria for a neighborhood grant program along with a draft annual TLNA budget, will hopefully be presented at the next TLNA meeting. The committee plans to have a 1-page grant application that also lists the criteria; there will then be a written record and established process. The grant program might be considered a pilot program for its first year. The committee is considering a \$500 pilot program budget for all of next year. Bona fide TLNA events will not be included in this program or cap. Patty P. added that there will also be a dollar limit on individual events.
 5. Richard Linster indicated that he was a dissenting vote on the committee concerning the \$100 recommendation.
 6. **Emily R. made a motion on behalf of the budget committee to provide \$100 to the Wallner backyard bash on a reimbursement basis when receipts are turned in. Patty P. seconded.**
 7. Discussion – Patrick Heck mentioned that the annual Elizabeth Street Fourth of July parade and party has not sought sponsorships; the neighbors just pitch in.
 8. David W. said that he thinks that the Few St. event is fantastic, but it is established; he'd rather give seed money for new events. If we fund regular events (like 4th of July), then we need an annual budget first. He also thinks that the Few St party doesn't need the grant – that money could be better spent, but he's torn because the event is indeed community building.
 9. Jessi Mulhall said she also had spoken with David Wallner about the grant request. He asked about the possibility of event publicity in the newsletter, but their event is 6 Sept. – too soon for fall newsletter. He told her that he hoped for the full \$200 request. Jessi mentioned that it is the 17th Annual event so it is very well established, so she thinks the organizers should ask for donations from attendees.
 10. Emily R. reported that TLNA gave \$250 to Cork and Bottle for the TLNA block party where attendees donated \$700 with proceeds going to Lapham School. Patty P. noted that \$700 is consistent with previous years.
 11. Richard L. agreed that the Few St. event is community building, so he too is uncomfortable speaking against this request. He does though agree with David W. about using our funds to help new and effective events.
 12. Dee Grimsrud asked if the \$100 would go directly to Dave Wallner and Anne Katz. No, the process is all receipt-based, so they have to turn in receipts to receive funds. Joe L. said we have to assume they have their budgets organized and that the families will be reimbursed appropriately.

	<p>13. Patty P. said that generally, the budget committee had second thoughts about the request and thought meeting them halfway was a compromise, but she was now leaning against the request.</p> <p>14. David Waugh said he would support the request if the event had a fundraising component, like the Cork and Bottle/TLNA block party. Richard L. agreed – the block party is billed as a TLNA event too; it is not just sponsored by TLNA. His research from looking at past newsletters revealed that the first Cork and Bottle block party was not a TLNA event and its proceeds went to the park shelter. In its 3rd year, the proceeds began going to Lapham School. It has morphed into a TLNA event even though the Wright family organizes it. The party has a good purpose and is valuable, but for all events we give money to, we need to make clear what our role and expectations are for the event.</p> <p>15. Joe L. returned to the motion in question. He is torn because the event did draw in families from south of Johnson last year which is very valuable, but he believes that the musical acts are more expensive this year, so they are asking for a large amount.</p> <p>16. David W. says that he has no problem giving \$50 rather than the \$100 recommendation because it is more in line with past grants for similar events. He proposes a friendly amendment to Emily’s motion to make the grant for \$50 as long as a process is established. Emily and other present committee members accept the amendment and a vote is called that passes unanimously.</p>
<p>b. Establish Nomination Committee to propose next year’s TLNA council members</p>	<p>1. Joe L. announced the proposed Nomination Committee members. Per the bylaws, there are 3 Council members - Joe Lusson, Patty Prime, Patrick Heck, and 3 citizen members - Cheryl Balazs, Jessica Becker and Sonja Moskalik.</p> <p>2. He reminded all that current TLNA Council member terms are up at the Annual Meeting, to be held at the Taste of Tenney.</p> <p>3. Richard L. moved that the proposed committee members be accepted. David W. seconded.</p> <p>4. Discussion – Michael Ryanjoy asked if the citizen members of the Nomination Committee can be elected to the Council. Joe L. answered that they can.</p> <p>5. Joe listed the current Council members who cannot be reelected due to the 5-year term limit provision in the bylaws: Joe Lusson, Richard Linster, David Waugh and Michael Ryanjoy. Unless the Nomination Committee proposes a change to the bylaws, the 5-year term limit will remain in force.</p> <p>6. Emily R. asked how someone can be nominated for a council seat. Joe L. said that the Nomination Committee will identify the open seats, including committee heads, officers, and area representatives - the Committee will try to fill vacancies. Joe plans to send out a general announcement to the TLNA listserv soliciting for nominations, particularly to help find Area Representatives.</p>

	<p>7. The motion passed unanimously on a voice vote.</p> <p>8. Joe will call a meeting of the Nomination Committee within 2 weeks.</p>
<p>c. 827 E. Gorham Street – consider making recommendation</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Joe Lusson reported on the status of the proposed demolition and new building and garage at 827 E. Gorham. The developer has modified their proposal, following most recommendations of the Housing Committee as well as those of city staff. Ledell said the City has gone back and forth about whether or not the project needs to go to Plan Commission for a conditional use permit, although it must go before the Plan Commission for its demolition permit. It is now nominally 2 units rather than 3, so it is in a new category and will not require a conditional use permit. In this situation there is little that a neighborhood can do if zoning laws and other processes are followed when a Conditional Use Permit is not required, other than hoping that the developer is cooperative and is interested in pleasing the neighborhood, which seems to be the case. 2. Ledell asked if the new 2-unit plan is using the same envelope as the previous 3-unit plan. Keith Wessel, Housing Committee Chair, says it is. Ledell says it is possible that the developer could try to add a unit after approval and construction, so she will investigate any process that could assure it stays a 2-unit. 3. Keith W. said that when they met with the developer, all agreed that the then 3-unit proposal was okay. Since the 3-unit will require a different permit and has additional city requirements, e.g., a fire sprinkler system, the developer has opted for 2 units only. Keith noted that the original proposal was similar to a boarding house with a small common room in the middle. They were responsive and changed that. 4. Peter Zembrowski of Construction and Design Services, who represented the California group that bought the building, indicated that they are losing a lot on this project. They were \$80,000-100,000 into the project before realizing that the building was not salvageable. They also realized that the existing garage was not worth saving, so will reconstruct that with 5 stalls rather than the current 2 3-stall sections. There is a provision in zoning code that requires more than 50% of the current value must be put into the property. They paid \$157,000 for the property and have already spent almost \$100,000 in their renovation attempt, yet will need about \$30,000 for the teardown. In the end, they will have spent much, much more than that 50%. 5. Joe L. stated that he is nervous about the project given that the Sherman Ave. house that TLNA endorsed ended up being much larger than what was proposed. He believes these drawings look almost like a 4-level structure. Ledell answers that whether or not they need a conditional use permit is the kicker; she is still talking to city staff about that. Keith W. added that the developer did start the process rather fast and loose; they started gutting the building with no permit, but have since been very responsive. Joe L. said that we should look to city staff to understand the drawings. He is concerned about scale. Ledell said that if the proposal

meets zoning, they have the right to build. There is some minor control over the process because they do need Plan Commission approval for demolition, but stopping the redevelopment using the demolition permit approval process would be a difficult sell.

6. Patty P. mentioned that TLNA is actually advisory only and that also was the case with TLNA's role with the Sherman Ave. house. Keith W. reiterated that the developer did respond to TLNA's concerns.
7. David W. suggested that the developer should get letters of support from the surrounding neighbors as the garage/apartment project on Sherman did. He questioned the accuracy of the lot width on the drawing, but it was agreed that 40' is correct. Ledell said that time is short because on August 25 the demolition permit request will be in front of Plan Commission. David said that he had looked at the surrounding area and many of the neighboring structures are 2.5-story rentals; the project fits in. They did make units that are geared toward longer-term rentals, e.g., by adding a dining room. David added that our role is to make sure the neighbors are happy.
8. Richard L. said he was present for the meeting with the agent for the developer. They did come back with something much better. He asked Ledell if the Plan Commission can delay so we would have time to talk to the immediate neighbors and get more information.
9. Dirk Entenmann asked again about the zoning - is 2 units okay under the zoning laws and if they could do a bait and switch. Ledell reiterated that the proposal was okay under zoning code and added that, if something is done that is not in compliance with the code it may not be identified. As with many things in the city, only if someone identifies a problem and files a complaint, will something be done. It is not unusual that things don't happen that plan commission has approved and required. Typically, someone has to observe the problem and draw it to the city's attention. Michael Ryanjoy suggested that the city could deny the occupancy permit if the Plan Commission recommendations are not adhered to, but again, complaints would have to drive the process.
10. Michael R. said that he believes they will build what they say they are planning to build, but they could perhaps later convert it to a 3-unit or something else. He asked Ledell if they would need approval to do that. Ledell said that, yes, they would need approval. Michael added that the developer could also sell the existing property to someone else who could end up doing something much worse.
11. Keith W. said that if the property is a double lot, the land is worth about \$120,000 so they are upside-down due to renovation and demolition costs. He thinks they should possibly bale out since they will lose so much money. Michael R. asked if we put up a roadblock, would that make them pull out? Ledell said that if they meet zoning and go through the demo process where there will be some consideration of what will replace it, they can move forward. Michael said he isn't concerned about the possibility of their adding a third apartment. He wouldn't want that

	<p>possibility to be the reason not to approve it because it could be easily rectified.</p> <p>12. Keith W. reiterated that they were responsive – they added the two covered porches. Michael R. asked what the 2 back panels are made of and what the garages are made of. Keith wasn't certain, but added that there will be a reduction from the current parking footprint.</p> <p>13. David W. asked if the surrounding properties were all rentals. Joe L. said he thought that was maybe the case. Keith said that the property owner next door sold this property to the developer and gave up their shared driveway easement with this property. They will likely have to work out a new driveway agreement.</p> <p>14. David W. made a motion to support the 7 August configuration and to commend the developer for responding to TLNA's concerns, including the amenities that appeal to longer-term tenants, the improved living configuration and street-facing porches. TLNA also recommends that they use quality natural materials to fit stylistically with neighboring houses, including not using wall pack a/c units. Patty P. seconded. Motion passed unanimously on a voice vote.</p> <p>15. Richard L. said that we care about this because we want the end result to fit into the neighborhood. He would feel better if the developer came to talk to TLNA again. Ledell said there is little chance to get a referral from Plan Commission, so it has to be before their 25 Aug. meeting.</p> <p>16. Keith W. made a friendly amendment to add a clause asking that the developer be invited ASAP to talk to TLNA again to clarify TLNA's support and to ask questions. We could also invite the immediate neighbors. The amendment was approved unanimously.</p> <p>17. Keith will contact both the developer and the neighbors to setup another Housing committee meeting.</p>
<p>6. Road Construction & Turn on Johnson campaign</p>	<p>1. Turn on Johnson Steering Group Chair Patty Prime reported.</p> <p>2. Nik Simonson, TOJ Project Coordinator, was absent due to his moving to a new apartment, so Patty reported.</p> <p>3. The Steering Group has struggled with the question of having a bigger event or not. Outside of that, things are going well. The TLNA Garage Sale is coming up on Saturday and there will be TOJ signs and flyers at sale locations.</p> <p>4. This weekend is Iona's anniversary weekend with several events planned. They are using local food services, e.g., The Spot, so the businesses are coming together.</p> <p>5. Patty said she had contacted David Waugh about his idea from the TLNA retreat about making videos featuring the Johnson Street businesses.</p> <p>6. David P. had previously suggested a parade event, so she will talk to him about. It might need to be a narrow, sidewalk parade due to the construction.</p> <p>7. The completion of the bump outs and sidewalks on the south side of Johnson have helped the businesses feel okay about reconstruction and</p>

	<p>traffic has been a bit better. The Baldwin Street area is a mess, but seems on schedule for completion.</p> <p>8. It is possible that bigger TOJ events focused on bands and food are of limited value, but we just don't know for sure. The Steering Committee is still exploring the possibilities. One idea for a big event is an end-of-reconstruction celebration. We could perhaps ask to close 2 blocks of Johnson Street for a few hours on a Sunday and ask people to bring grills and enjoy the new street. Ledell said that she thought this was a great idea.</p> <p>9. Patty is meeting with the city tomorrow to check in with them on TOJ progress. They want to make sure we have documentation of TOJ's activities, spending, etc. Emily R. asked if TOJ will be submitting receipts. Patty answered that she would learn about the process at the meeting with city staff and report back to Emily.</p>
<p>a. Marston pedestrian crossings</p>	<p>1. This agenda item was postponed until the next meeting.</p>
<p>7. Report of Elected Officials.</p>	<p>1. District 2 Alder Ledell Zellers reported.</p> <p>2. The Galaxie groundbreaking will be held 16 Sept. The invitation will be sent out on the TLNA listserv.</p> <p>3. The bike polo league playing on the Reynolds Park tennis courts is a great use of the facility. The water utility wants a memorandum of understanding so as to understand the roles of all parties. A similar thing was done for the community gardens atop Crowley Station. That agreement includes the endorsement of the neighborhood association, water utility and Parks Department. Ledell has a copy of that memorandum of understanding and will share it with Joe L. and whoever is interested so they can decide if TLNA wants to endorse the bike polo usage of the tennis courts. Patty P. asked who the bike polo organizers are. Ledell said they included Jonny Hunter and Jordan Williams – both have been great to work with. Dirk E. asked if there are still tennis courts and Ledell responded yes, there are still 2 courts.</p> <p>4. The Madison Public Market is in the midst of moving forward. The Local Food Committee selected the E. Washington Avenue and N. 1st Street location as the best option. The committee was preparing to vote on a resolution to send that recommendation to Common Council. City Council is scheduled to consider the issue in September. Ledell indicated the final location is not a slam-dunk. There are considerations not only about the location, but also concerning cost. The Project for Public Spaces, the city-hired contractor who studied the proposed locations, indicated that the success of the vendors is the first priority. The chair of the Local Food Committee feels that the assistance to lower income people is in the form of opportunity to vend.</p> <p>5. Patty P. said that the Marquette neighborhood seems to be in favor of the Park St. location. Ledell reported that she received one email from a</p>

	<p>District 2 resident advocating for the Park St. location and no emails on behalf of the E. Washington location. She did notice that some District 2 residents were weighing in on the Marquette listserv discussion.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">6. David W. said that there is a revolution in food production, distribution and consumption – moving towards small-scale, local and sustainable sources. Madison should spend what we can to be at forefront of this food revolution. Ledell said that she appreciates that the Midwest is on the leading edge. David added that the biggest risks for a public market fall on the back of small farmers and food producers, not the city, so Madison should be behind whatever will be the least risky for small farmers, producers and vendors. Michael R. agreed that the E. Washington location is best. Bob Klebba said that he previously had started a farmers market in an underserved Madison neighborhood and it was not successful. The nearby residents did not shop there and the same will be true on Park Street. He added that the city’s consultant opinion was important and should be accepted.7. Joe L. asked which area at E. Washington and 1st Street the committee is referring to – is it all sides of E. Washington? Ledell stated that the actual location was not yet certain and that the land had not been selected, but she hopes some component will be on the river to take advantage of placemaking opportunities.8. Richard L. asked Ledell if TLNA has a role to advocate for something like this. Ledell said that since the Local Food Committee’s recommendation will be considered at the Sept. Council meeting, TLNA and individuals should go on record with their opinions.9. Dirk E. asked if the single site is a foregone conclusion – can there can be only one location? Ledell said that a single location is the current recommendation, but the mayor thinks there could be more than one market. The resolution also mentions the potential for more than one market, but she believes it will take a long time for the success of a first to be established so that a second one could be considered. Many public markets have failed or become much less than imagined, like food courts, so the city will likely be cautious about a second location.10. The city has started treating trees for Emerald Ash Borer. If you see a yellow dot on a tree and it appears not to meet the city’s criteria for not being treated, contact Ledell.11. There have been some noise complaints about Clutch Sound at 1254 E. Washington studios, but Ledell’s understanding is that the noise has decreased.12. Ledell discussed the changes to a Small Cap TIF program in Tax Increment District (TID) 32 that she has been/is advocating for. Among other changes, she has proposed using the “half-mile rule” to expand the area in which the Small Cap TIF loan program can be used to include the area bounded by N. Blair, E. Dayton, N. Brearly and E. Gorham. The rule allows TIF dollars to be used for specified programs/purposes within a half-mile outside of the TID boundaries without actually expanding the
--	---

boundaries of the TID. TID 32 been in place for quite a while and was geographically expanded several years ago to take in the Edgewater project when it was seeking TIF funds. The Small Cap TIF program provides funds to little guys rather than big developers. The goal of the Small Cap TIF program is to transform rental homes into owner-occupied, but the homes can retain rental components. Mansion Hill and James Madison Park have had this program for several years, but only two conversions have been successful. The maximum grant was too low; the revised program (this has been approved) has a higher loan amount of \$100,000 for a 3-unit building. Many potential buyers have trouble looking at a rental home which is run down and imagining it being owner-occupied with perhaps a rental component, so another approved change allows for someone to purchase a rental, do the conversion/upgrades and then sell it to an owner occupant. The interim owner would be doing some of the hard stuff that keeps some potential owner occupants from participating.

13. The Joint Review Board (made up of the taxing entities, e.g., MATC and the School Board) still has to approve the expanded boundaries, but it looks good so far. The expanded boundaries for the program would not add significantly to TIF expenditures. Depending on the number of units in the purchased property, the loans are \$80,000 to \$100,000. The loans are 10-year and forgivable with the requirement that the property must be owner-occupied. Patty P. asked if there was any tax component to the program. Ledell said no. Ledell wants the program to be better promoted by city and is organizing a group to do so that might include Jason Tish from the Madison Trust for Historic Preservation and a rehab expert. Patty P. asked if the program would be marketed to realtors? Ledell said yes. They also hope to have walking tours featuring potential properties, including bankers who could give advice about finances/loans and city staff to talk about how the TIF loan program works.
14. Ledell added that historic tax credits are available in the 4th Lake Ridge National Historic District which includes the south side of E. Gorham Street between N. Franklin and N. Brearly as well as some properties between Gorham and Johnson between N. Brearly and N. Paterson which are also within the boundaries of the Small Cap TIF loan program if the half mile rule expansion is approved. The historic tax credit program can also help significantly with the cost of rehabbing these homes. (The Fourth Lake Ridge National Historic District also includes some homes outside of the boundaries of the Small Cap TIF loan boundaries.).
15. The Yum Yum Fest will be held in Central Park on Sunday, 7 Sept., featuring area chefs and music.
16. Ledell reported on a moving day flyer that was passed out along E. Johnson Street to inform those moving in or out that E. Johnson would be accessible during moves. Dee G. mentioned that the constant trash pick-up by the city was great during moving days.
17. David Hart, who is Chair of the Alcohol Licensing and Review

	<p>Committee, contacted Ledell with news that The Harlem Renaissance Museum would be located at 1444 E. Washington. The museum would feature jazz and other components that celebrate Harlem culture. Ledell is going to meet with him.</p> <p>18. On July 16 there was a meeting at which the Yahara River Corridor Conceptual Study was presented. There was a decent turnout and the concepts were presented. Ledell will keep TLNA updated on the next steps and what the next iteration will be.</p>
8. Report of Officers and Committees	
a. Social/Membership- Taste of Tenney Oct. 7	1. Committee Chair Richard Linster reported on the upcoming Taste of Tenney event and Annual Meeting (see report appended below).
b. Treasurer - budget committee	1. See 5a above.
c. Development – summary of Aug. 13 Stonehouse/Frank Productions steering committee	<p>1. David Waugh reported that the next meeting of the Madison Dairy steering committee is Tuesday, August 26, at 7pm in the Constellation community room.</p> <p>2. Council members commended David W. for the great job he did leading the Madison Dairy steering committee meeting. There were 26 people in attendance at the steering committee meeting and about a dozen volunteered to be on the core steering committee.</p>
d. Transportation/ Safety – bike blvd recommendations	<p>1. Kevin Luecke – no report.</p> <p>2. Bob Klebba was present to discuss recent work by the committee that is working on recommendations for making the Mifflin St. bike boulevard safer for all. Joe L. asked if could we table the discussion until the September TLNA meeting. Several people have said, including Bob Shaw, that we need to more outreach to the community on the proposed changes. People who live on E. Dayton are concerned about increased traffic if cars are diverted from Mifflin, so they need to be encouraged to make their issues known. He added that perhaps city staff could be invited to the September meeting or that there should be a larger neighborhood meeting about the ideas.</p> <p>3. Bob K. said that the ideas had not been in the TLNA newsletter, but had been discussed at the TLNA Annual Meeting and committee meetings were announced on the listserv.</p> <p>4. Richard L. suggested a forum with city staff present. Bob said that he prefers waiting to involve city staff until the committee has a go-ahead from TLNA. Joe L. said that some of the changes are dramatic, so he wants a bigger audience to weigh in. There will likely be pushback, so we need a robust discussion with broad public participation. Joe adds that the meeting is running late so there is not time to properly consider the issue</p>

	<p>and vote.</p> <p>5. It was agreed to put the issue on the agenda for the Sept. TLNA meeting. Dayton Street residents who are concerned and others will be specially invited. City staff will not be invited to the Sept. meeting.</p> <p>6. Joe L. mentioned that he would like to see full map of the proposed changes to E. Mifflin.</p> <p>7. Richard L. moved we table the issue until the Sept. meeting. Dirk E. seconded ad the motion passed unanimously on a voice vote.</p>
e. Publicity/Newsletter	1. Jessi Mulhall – no report
f. Parks	1. James Tye – no report
g. Business	1. Dirk Entenmann - no report
9. President’s comments	1. Joe Lusson – no comments
10. Adjournment	1. The Meeting adjourned at 9:15pm

Membership/Social Committee report 8/14/14

Dear Council members:

Membership: Since our last meeting I have collected \$ 350 in dues from 22 members, for a total of 114 members and \$ 1,415 in dues for the membership year. Many will become due over the next 8 weeks and every effort will be made to renew/collect. Again, if you need to pay, please do so, and if you are aware of any neighbor that you believe would be a good prospect, please let me know that so contact may be initiated. I am drafting letters to accompany membership forms targeting those who have not paid for quite some time as well as new, prospective members .

Social: We recently held a community night at Johnson Public House. Though a date has not yet been set, Sophia of the like named restaurant has agreed to do a weekday community event with us. Though just outside our boundaries, Pinkcus McBride has likewise been contacted and has also expressed interest.

A date has been set for the Annual Meeting which will be held Tuesday October 7th at Christ Presbyterian Church from 5:00-9:00 PM. I am involved in preliminary planning for a "Taste of Tenney Two" on the same day. I will certainly need help and resources to pull this off. It my hope and expectation is that this year's event will also be a great success.

Sincerely, Richard